

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/978,401	<b>Applicant(s)</b> VANDERSALL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Peter Szekely	<b>Art Unit</b> 1714	

**All Participants:**

(1) Peter Szekely.

(2) Kenneth Solomon.
**Status of Application: Allowed**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 April 2004
**Time:** 11:00 a.m.
**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference


☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*Obviousness*
**Claims discussed:**
*1 and 21-49*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*Achtmann 5,882,541*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Claim 1 will be amended to exclude guar gum. Claims 21-49 will be canceled.*
**Part III.**
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)